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ABSTRACT
A total of 47 localities of Baeothryon alpinum, hosting population of more than 100 000 shoots, were recorded in the lowland part of Poland during field surveys in the years 2003-2009. Among them were 25 populations discovered for the first time. Out of 57 sites of the species known from literature and unpublished (including herbarium) sources, 35 were not confirmed during the survey, 27 of them being definitely extinct. B. alpinum shows a clear pattern of distribution in Poland, with three main areas of occurrence: 1) the north-easternmost Poland (Lithuanian Lake District with the adjacent parts of the Masurian Lake District and the upper Biebrza river valley in North Podlasie Lowlands), which is part of the species boreal main range; 2) scattered localities in north-western Poland; 3) mountain mires at higher altitudes in the Sudetes and Tatra mountains and the adjacent part of southern Poland. The main aggregation of localities is found in Augustów Forest (including the Sejny Lakeland and Wigry National Park), and in the Góry Sudawskie region with adjacent areas. The biggest Polish population in the “Kobyła Biel” fen near Augustów consisted of several dozens of thousands of shoots. The Lithuanian Lake District is an area of general importance for the conservation of B. alpinum in Poland. The species is threatened, first of all, due to secondary succession (mires overgrowing with shrubs, trees and reed) and requires conservation measures as well as establishing nature reserves in places where it occurs. The degree that B. alpinum decreases in number is strikingly different in particular regions of Poland – it has lost most of its localities in north-western Poland and in Masurian Lake District, while in the Lithuanian Lake District and the upper Biebrza valley there are minor losses only. Depending on the region (from the west to the east and from the south-west to the north-east), the species should be given extinct or critically endangered (regions of north-western and southern Poland), endangered (Masurian Lake District), vulnerable (North Podlasie Lowlands) and near threatened (Lithuanian lake District) status. Although the disappearance of the populations beyond the species main range is a common phenomenon, the presented pattern is man-related and connected with differences in land management.
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INTRODUCTION

Baeothryon alpinum (L.) T. V. Egorova (= Trichophorum alpinum (L.) Pers., Scirpus hudsonianus (Michx) Fernald, Eríophorum alpinum L.) is a perennial mire plant forming loose mats. Shoots 10-40 cm, terminate with a single spikelet, 5-7 mm long and ca. 3 mm wide, ellipsoid to lanceolate. Perianth bristles 4-6, cotton-like in appearance, white, smooth, up to 25 mm long (DeFilipps 1980). This circumpolar species is bound primarily to the boreal (taiga) zone and the mountain areas southwards. In Europe it is widespread in Scandinavia, northern Russia and the Baltic countries. Its main distribution range extends to Denmark and north-easternmost Poland. Scattered lowland localities are known from northern Germany, western part of Poland and the upper Don area in central Russia, whereas quite numerous mountain populations are found in mountain ranges of central and southern Europe, e.g. the Alps, Pyrenees, Carpathians, Sudetes and Apennines (Hultén and Fries 1986a, b).

The distribution of Baeothryon alpinum in Poland shows a distinct pattern, with three separate areas of occurrence. The main area, which is considered as part of the species boreal range, covers the north-easternmost part of the Polish Lowlands (Lithuanian Lake District with the adjacent parts of the Masurian Lake District and the upper Biebrza river valley). The remaining two areas of occurrence are the post-glacial landscape of north-western Poland and the mountain areas of southern Poland (the Sudetes and Tatra Mountains) with the adjacent, southernmost part of Polish
lowland (Zukowski 1969; Zając and Zając 2001). The reason for the observed gap in the species distribution in northern Poland (spreading between the Parsęta and Gwda rivers in Pomerania and Śniardwy Lake in Masuria) was a subject of interest of former botanists. Czubiński (1950) presumed that originally the species had a constant distribution in northern Poland, but the area has been divided by several glacier encroachments at the end of the last ice age.

In Poland, the species grows in various mire types, including Sphagnum-dominated poor fens, brown moss-small sedge rich fens (e.g. spring fens) and even in pine-birch fen woodlands (Pańczyński 1975; Sokolowski 1988, 1996; Tyszkowski 1993; Dajdok and Kački 1999; Lachacz and Oleśiński 2000a; Pawlikowski 2008a). During the last century the localities of B. alpinum scattered in Europe beyond the species main range have been vanishing as a result of drainage, secondary succession and management intensification (Dité and Hoskovec 2005). In Poland, the species has lost most of its localities in the western part of the country and is considered vulnerable (VU category – Zukowski 2001). In the countries adjacent to Poland to the south and west, the species is considered critically endangered (Slovakia – Dité and Hoskovec 2005) or endangered (the provinces Mecklenburg-Vorpommern and Schleswig-Holstein in north-eastern Germany – Ingelöv et al. 1993; Czech Republic – Dité and Hoskovec 2005). In all of the published Polish regional lists the species is listed as endangered (Western Pomerania – Zukowski and Jackowiak 1995; Polish Carpathians – Piękos-Mirkowa and Walusiak 2008), critically endangered (Opole Province – Dajdok and Kački 2002) or extinct (Wielkopolska region – Jackowiak et al. 2007). North-eastern Poland is considered the most important region for the species in Poland (Zukowski 1969, 2001). Nevertheless, there is no clear evidence of that and the population size is unknown. Moreover, the status of about a dozen of localities recorded before the Second World War in the Masurian Lake District (former East Prussia) remains unknown. In the whole country, there is little confirmed information on the disappearance of particular localities. It pertains mainly to populations existing in western Poland (Zukowski 2001) and in the mountains (e.g. near Morskie Oko – Piękos-Mirkowa and Walusiak 2008). Only the population of B. alpinum in north-eastern Poland whose extinction is sufficiently documented existed in “Spytkowo” nature reserve near Giżycko (Polakowski 1959; Endler and Dziedzic 1991).

The aim of this study is to determine the distribution dynamics of Baeothryon alpinum in Poland and assign the conservation status in particular regions and in the whole country. In order to undertake conservation measures, reliable data on the species distribution dynamics, population size, threats and the status of localities are needed.

METHODS

A review of literature and all the Polish herbaria collections was carried out to reveal the historical data on the species occurrence in the lowland part of Poland (excluding mountain areas). All the historical localities in north-eastern Poland were surveyed in the years 2002-2009 to determine whether the species still occurs there or not. Moreover, suitable habitats were checked in order to find unknown populations. In all the localities confirmed or discovered, the abundance of the species was estimated and the threats were listed. In the case of extinct or probably extinct localities, the possible reasons for extinction were determined. Herbarium specimens collected during the field survey were deposited in the Herbarium of Faculty of Biology (WA) at University of Warsaw.

Every locality was assigned an appropriate ATPOL grid square (Zając 1978; Zając and Zając 2001) and rated to appropriate geographical region (Kondracki 2001). When possible, apart from the 10×10 km squares, smaller 5×5 km squares (one-fourth of the big square) were applied. For example, letter “A” denotes north-west quarter, letter “B” denotes north-east quarter and so on. In cases when several local populations existed within one large peatland, they were treated as one locality (unless they were located in different ATPOL squares).

As a result of the field, herbarium and literature survey of Baeothryon alpinum distribution in the Polish Lowlands, a map of distribution was prepared. Mountain localities were also included, based on the map of Zając and Zając (2001, and modified using the data presented by Piękos-Mirkowa and Walusiak 2008).

RESULTS

ATPOL grid square codes are given next to the locality name. Explanations of abbreviations: BPN – Biebrza National Park; comm. – commune; Ex – sites extinct due to habitat destruction; Ex? – sites most probably extinct; pers. comm. – personal communication; res. – nature reserve; settl. – settlement; v. – village; WPN – Wigry National Park; * – localities threatened due to secondary succession (trees, shrubs or reed); ** – localities severely threatened due to secondary succession; ! – Paweł Pawlikowski unpublished data. Abbreviations of the names of herbaria follow Mirek et al. (1997). Population size is presented using intervals: 1 – several up to several dozens of shoots, 2 – several hundreds of shoots, 3 – several thousands of shoots; 4 – more than 10 000 shoots. In the case of localities recorded before the Second World War by German botanists, the former names are cited in [brackets]. Dates of the last confirmed field sightings are given using bold.

List of reliable localities in Poland excluding mountain areas

SZCZEĆIN COAST

AB95B meadows [Maduewiesen] near Miedwiecko settl. next to Zienielewio v. and Miedwie Lake, Kobylanka or Stargard Szczeciński comm. (Holzfuß 1940; Czubiński 1950; Zukowski 1969). Ex (Zukowski 2001). 1850

WEST POMERANIA LAKE DISTRICT


SOUTH POMERANIA LAKE DISTRICT

BC05D “Trzęsacz” [Nakeler Faulen Bruch] fen in “Wielki Bytyń” res., east of Wielki Bytyń Lake [Grosser Böthin-
see]. Walcz comm. (Abromeit 1928; Frase 1930; Abromeit et al. 1931-40; Czubiński 1950; Balcerkiewicz and Markowski 1969; Żukowski 2001; W. Żukowski, pers. comm. in 2008). Ex? (W. Stachnowicz, pers. comm. in 2009) due to overgrowing with trees and reed. **1983**

**LUBUSZ LAKE DISTRICT**


**SILESIAN LOWLANDS**


**SILESIAN HIGHLANDS**

**DF22C** Sucha Góra, district of Bytom city, Bytom comm (Zapałowicz 1906; Żukowski 1969). Ex (Żukowski 2001). **1875**

**MASURIAN LAKE DISTRICT**

**EB68B** Masurian Scenic Park, “Bagni Mulaste” (Bagni Muliste) fen bordering small lakes south of Zgorn [Sgorn] v., Plecki or Świętajno comm. (Abromeit 1928; Abromeit et al. 1931-40, Żukowski 1969). Ex? († 2009), but small patches of suitable habitat still exist. **1922**

**FA83D** peatland near Mażucie [Masutchen] v., Goldap comm. (Abromeit 1928; Abromeit et al. 1931-40). Ex († 2009) due to peat extraction, drainage and overgrowing with trees. **1925 or 1926**

**FA83B** peatland near Wilkajcie [Wiljetschen] v., Goldap comm. (Abromeit et al. 1931-40). The paper containing the original data of F. Brauer (see Abromeit et al. 1931-40) has not been revealed in the present study. Ex († 2009) due to peat extraction, drainage and overgrowing with trees. **1931**

**FA83C** peatland near Audyniszki [Audinischen] v., Banie Mazurskie comm. (Abromeit et al. 1931-40). Ex († 2009) due to peat extraction, drainage and overgrowing with trees and shrubs. This locality is not mentioned in the original paper of Abromeit (1928), which includes other nearby recordings of the species by R. Büchle (see Abromeit et al. 1931-40). **1925**

**FA91C** fen in the place of non-existing lake near Kalskie Nowiny [Kehlerwald] v. between Węgorzewo and Stęgiew, Węgorzewo comm. (Führer 1928; Abromeit 1928; Abromeit et al. 1931-40; Żukowski 1969). Ex († 2009) due to drainage and overgrowing with trees. **between 1917 and 1920**

**FA94A** peatland [Johannisberger Moor] south-west of Janowo [Johannisberg] v., Goldap comm. (Kaunowen and Range 1906). Ex († 2009) due to overgrowing with trees and peat extraction. **1905**

**FA94B** poor fen bordering a small lake near the top of the Tatarka Góra [Tatenberg, Friedrichower Berg] hill, Goldap comm. (Schultz 1892; Abromeit 1898; Kaunowen and Range 1906, Gross 1912; Abromeit et al. 1931-40). Ex (Lachacz and Oleśiński 2000b, ‡ 2002-2004, 2006, 2009), most probably due to acidification (but small patches of suitable habitat still exist). Gross (1910) erroneously cited the name of the hill as “Seeskier Berg” (see Lachacz and Oleśiński 2000b). **1908 or 1909**


**FB26D** fen bordering a small lake [Wydny-See, Wydnysee] that does not currently exist, in a forest north-east of Kleszczewo [Kleszönchen] v. and east of Nory settl., Wieliczki comm. (Grüttner 1897; Abromeit et al. 1931-40; Żukowski 1969, ‡ 2009: 3). **2009**

**FB26D** fen in a forest west of Dorfsze [Dorschen] v., Wieliczki comm. (Abromeit et al. 1931-40). Ex († 2009) due to drainage, forest development and mire acidification. The paper containing the original data of G. Rehs (see Abromeit 1931-1940) has not been revealed in the present study. **1892**


**FB34D** peatland near Mieczkowo [Milchbude] settl., forest south of Malinówka Wielka v. and east of Orazce v., Elk comm. (Gross 1910, 1912; Abromeit et al. 1931-40; Żukowski 1969). Ex († 2009) due to drainage and overgrowing with trees and reed. **1908 or 1909**

**FB35B** fen bordering a small lake [Mechtzsee] south-west of Czaple v., Elk comm. (Koppe and Koppe 1931). Ex († 2009) due to eutrophication, drainage and overgrowing with reed. **1930**

**FB35B** fen bordering the west part of Godle Lake near Chelchły [Chelchen] v., Czaple [Zappeln] v. and Przykopka [Birkewald, Przykopken] v., Elk comm. (Koppe and Koppe 1931; Abromeit et al. 1931-40; Żukowski 1969). Ex († 2009) due to overgrowing with trees and shrubs, drainage and peat extraction. The paper containing the original data of H. Steffen (see Abromeit et al. 1931-40) has not been revealed in the present study. **1936**

**FB35C** fen bordering a small lake in a forest [Dallnitzwald] north-east of Elk town, Elk comm. (Gross 1910; Abromeit et al. 1931-40). Ex († 2009) due to overgrowing with trees and drainage. **1908 or 1909**

**FB41B** “Jeziorko kolo Drozdowa” res., fen east of Drożdowo, Orzysz comm. (Lachacz and Oleśiński 2000a, ‡ 2009: 2). **2009**

**FB45C** fen bordering Tatary Duże Lake [Grosse Tatarensee] south of Elk, Elk comm. (Kalkreuth 1914; Abromeit 1928; Abromeit et al. 1931-40; Żukowski 1969). Ex († 2009) due to overgrowing with trees and drainage. **1924**

**FB50** fen bordering the east part of Beldany Lake, Ruciane-Nida comm. (KRA: leg. A. Kornaś; J. Kornaś 1952; Żukowski 1969). Ex († 2009) due to overgrowing with trees. **1952**

**LITHUANIAN LAKE DISTRICT**

**FA77D** fen adjacent to Burniszki v., Wiżajny comm. (Kawecka 1991). Ex! (2008) due to drainage, peat extraction, mire acidification and overgrowing with trees and shrubs. between 1979 and 1987

**FA77D** fen adjacent to Grzybina v., Wiżajny comm. (!leg. 2008: 2). 2008**

**FA78C** fen adjacent to Stankuny v., Wiżajny comm. (!leg. 2008: 2). 2008

**FA78C** fen adjacent to Kolonia Wiżajny settl., Wiżajny comm. (!leg. 2008: 2). 2008

**FA78C** fen bordering the south-eastern part of Prudel Lake, Wiżajny comm. (!leg. 2008: 2). 2008

**FA79C** “Plinia” fen adjacent to Ejszeryski v. and state border, Rutka-Tartak comm. (!leg. 2008: 2). 2008


**FA88C** poor fen bordering the north part of Czarne Lake by Smolniki v., Wiżajny comm. (BIL: leg. A.W. Sokolowski 1969, Sokolowski 1973). Ex? (!2009), most probably due to overgrowing with trees and shrubs and peat extraction, but small patches of suitable habitat still exist. 1969


**FA89D** fen adjacent to Kociołki v., Szypliszki comm. (!leg. 2008: 3). 2008*


**FA98B** fen “Dziobel” east of Gulbienskis, Jeleniowo comm. (Pawlowski 2008a, !leg. 2006: 1). 2006*

**FA98D** bog margin near Sidorówka v. near Jeleniowo, Jeleniowo comm. (Pawlowski 2008a, !leg. 2004: 1). 2004*


**FB08A** Czarna Hańcza river valley, spring and rich fen complex between Osowa v. and Potasznia v., west of the river, Suwałki comm. (!leg. 2004, !leg. 2003-2005: 2). 2005


**FB19D** WPN: rich fen bordering the north-east part of Kruszyn (Kruszyn) Lake, Nowinka comm. (Sokołowski 1990, Jutrzenka-Trzebiatowski et al. 2002). Ex? (!2009) due to overgrowing with reed, trees and shrubs, but small patches of suitable habitat still exist. between 1992 and 1999

**FB28D** Rospuda river valley, rich fen west of the river, east of the Topoliówka v., Augustów comm. (!leg. 2006: 2). 2006

**FB39A** Rospuda river valley, forested mire east of the Rospuda river, south of the Błiza river and east of the Kozia Szyja hill, Augustów comm. (Sokołowski 1989, !leg. 2003, 2007, 2009: 3). This is possibly the place where W. Szafer collected the specimens in 1929 (KRA). 2009


**FB39C** rich fen adjacent to allotment gardens in Augustów, near “Szosa do Sejn” road, Augustów comm. (!leg. 2006: 2). 2006*


**GA90C** rich fen 1 km east of Nowe Bokszes v., near Czarna river valley, Krasnopol comm. (!leg. 2004: 3). 2004**

**GA90C** margin of the bog south of Bokszes Lake, Puńsk comm. (!leg. 2006: 1). 2006

**GB00A** fen south of Bucznia (Buczniel) Lake near Podlas v., Krasnopol comm. (!leg. 2004: 1). 2004**

**GB00B** poor fen bordering the north part of Pląskie Lake, Sejny comm. (WA: leg. L. Bogdanowicz 1975; Kłosowski and Tomaszewicz 1979; S. Kłosowski, pers. comm. in 2008). Ex? (!2009) due to overgrowing with reed, trees and shrubs, but small patches of suitable habitat still exist. 1999

**GB00B** “Magdzie Bagna” sparsely wooded rich fen bordering the south-west part of Pląskie Lake, Krasnopol comm. (!leg. 2004: 2). 2006*

**GB00C** rich fen between Długie Lake and a small lake northwards, Krasnopol comm. (!leg. 2003, !leg. 2006: 1). 2006*

**GB01B** rich fen bordering the west part of Dusajis (Dafrajis) Lake (near Duszница v.), Sejny comm. (!leg. 2004: 2). 2004

GB02C rich fen bordering the east part of Gajliš Lake, Sejny comm. (Jabłońska 2005; †1999-2009: 2). 2009
GB10D rich fen west of Sarnetki (Sernetki) v., Giby comm. († 2003-2007: 2). 2007*
GB11D rich fen 0.5 km south-west of the southernmost part of Wilkokuł Lake, Giby comm. (Sokolowski 1978; † 2004: 2). 2004
GB12C rich fen “Miękubagno” bordering the north-east part of Żelwa Lake, Giby comm. († leg. 2004: 2). 2004*
GB12C rich fen between Żelwa Lake and Seklis Lake, Giby comm. (Klosowski and Tomaszewicz 1979; † 2003-2007: 3). 2007
GB21A fen bordering Hilinki (Chylinki) Lake and a small lake northwards, Giby comm. (Tyszkowski 1995; † leg. 2006: 2). 2006*
GB30B rich fen in a small valley reaching the Augustows- ski Canal valley, between Żyliny settl. (near Sucha Rzeczka v.) and Żyduowskie settl. (near PLaska v.), PLaska comm. (Tyszkowski 1995; † 2006: 2). 2006*
GB32A? Augustows Canal valley, fen adjacent to Rygol v., PLaska comm. (BIL: leg. J. Żurawski 1974). Ex († 2009). There are several fens adjacent to that village and the precise location has not been revealed, but the species does not occur in any of them. 1974

NORTH PODLACIE [PODLACHIA] LOWLANDS
FB56D fen south of Belda v., Rajgrod comm. (Żukowski 1969). Ex († 2009) due to drainage and intensive meadow management. 1956
GB40 mine near Jastrzębna v., Sztabin comm. (Żukowski 1969). The locality is imprecise, but most probably Ex? († 2002, 2009) due to drainage, intensive meadow management and forest development. 1954

LIST OF UNCERTAIN LOCALITIES
AD09 Jordanowo v., Świebodzin comm. (Żukowski 1969). The original paper of Ulbrich (1916) (see Żukowski 1969) does not contain information on the species and the area mentioned.
CC16 Smukala, present district of Bydgoszcz city, By- dogoszcz comm. (Zając and Zając 2001; ATPOL database after Bock 1908). The original paper of Bock (1908) does not contain information on the species.
DF35 Strzemieszyce, present district of Dąbrowa Górnicza city, Dąbrowa Górnicza comm. (Zając and Zając 2001; ATPOL database after herbarium specimens collected by A. Sendek in 1976). There is no other information on this locality.
FA84C peatland 2 km west of Goldap, Goldap comm. (Żukowski 1969). This locality is uncertain, as it can be identical with the listed above locality near Wilkajcie. In Poland, the number of localities of the species recorded so far is estimated at 82. The main area of the species occurrence in Poland are the Lithuanian Lake District (50 localities), the eastern part of the Masurian Lake District (19 localities) and the Biebrza river valley in North Podla- sie Lowlands (6 localities). The distribution of the species in the area studied as well as the status of the localities are presented in Figure 1.
My survey revealed the presence of Baeothryon alpinum at 47 localities in the Polish Lowlands after the year 2000. Among them were 25 populations previously unknown, discovered by the author. Twenty-seven localities are definitely extinct, and 8 are most probably extinct. The main reasons for extinction are: overgrowing with trees and shrubs, drainage, peat extraction and expansion of reed.
There is clear imparity between the conditions of populations in the two neighbouring areas (Fig. 2). Considering the localities known before the year 2000 only, the Lithua- nian Lake District has 14 (39 in total) populations confirmed after the year 2000 (56%), whereas in the Masurian Lake District only 3 populations have been confirmed (16%). Most of the localities in the Lithuanian Lake Dis- trict are concentrated in the borderline areas of Augustów Forest, including the Sejny Lakeland and Wigry National Park, and in Góry Sudawskie region and adjacent areas. Moderately abundant populations (several hundreds of shoots) predominate, comprising more than a half (57%) of the total number of populations. As many as 15% of the localities host very small populations (less than 100 shoots), but as many as 14 populations (nearly 30%) are numerous (more than 1000 shoots). They were noted in the Lithua- nian Lake District (10 populations), as well as in the Masu- rian Lake District (2 populations) and the upper Biebrza area (2 populations). It is difficult to estimate the size of the biggest population (in the “Kobyła Biel” fen bordering Białe Augustowskie Lake), since it densely covers an area of more than 5 hectares. There are 28 localities (60%) that are threatened due to secondary succession, nine of them being severely threatened.

DISCUSSION
Young postglacial landscapes favour the development of peatlands (Tobolski 2003), which are the habitat of Baeo- thryon alpinum. Many of the mire plants in Poland occur mostly in lakeland areas in north and north-western part of the country (see Zając and Zając 2001). In the case of north-easternmost Poland, the high abundance of mire spe- cies is associated with greater availability of suitable habi-
tats (and postglacial history of plant cover – Środoń 1972). Moreover, the influence of boreal climate which is stronger in north-eastern Poland than in other parts of the country (Kondracki 2001) can favor the occurrence of boreal species, since many of the plants occurring in peatland ecosystems in Central Europe are bound primarily to the taiga zone (see Hultén and Fries 1986a, b). This is particularly true in the case of *B. alpinum* as nearly all the lowland localities of the species are situated in the lakeland areas of northern Poland, and are mostly aggregated in the north-easternmost part of the country.

The number of existing localities of *Baeothryon alpinum* known from the Lithuanian Lake District is surprisingly high in comparison with the adjacent Warmia and Masuria region, which shared similar geological (glacial) history and is geomorphologically similar (Kondracki 2001). Bloch-Orlowska (2007), who investigated *Carex chordorrhiza* (a mire species that shows a pattern of distribution dynamics similar to that of *B. alpinum*), pointed out that because of climate-related reasons the localities beyond the species main range are particularly vulnerable to accelerated decrease in number. Since many of the populations of *C. chordorrhiza* in north-eastern Poland still persisted, the author concluded that extinctions involved mostly the localities situated beyond the climatic optimum for the species. But if climatic factors explain why many mire species survived best in the north-easternmost Poland, the above pattern should be observed among boreal species only.

An argument against this theory is the example of *Liparis loeselii*, an important fen species not related to the boreal zone (it occurs mainly in Central Europe – Hultén and Fries 1986b). It has lost most of its previously recorded localities in Poland, and the majority of the populations survived in the Lithuanian Lake District in north-eastern Poland (Pawlowski et al. 2008b), where the boreal climatic influences are strongest. This suggests that independently of the species distribution, special attention should be given to man-related factors, particularly the intensification of land management (e.g. drainage). Despite different distribution patterns, both *B. alpinum* and *L. loeselii* have the most vital populations in north-easternmost Poland. Apart from the climatic factors, the most important reasons for this situation seem to be related to the fact that in the Lithuanian Lake District traditional extensive land management practices continued until very recently, whereas in many other lake-lands in north-eastern Poland (including Masuria and Warmia territory) the area was intensively managed and drained before and after World War II. This confirms the opinion of Jasiński et al. (1968), who proved that the disappearance of mire plant species is caused primarily by human activity.

This pattern of decrease is true in the case of many other rare and endangered mire species with various distribution, such as *Saxifraga hirculus*, *Hammarbya paludosa*, *Malaxis monophyllos*, *Betula humilis* and *Eriophorum gracle* (Kazmierczakowa and Zarzucky 2001; Zając and Zając 2001). Furthermore, the explanation based on the regional differences in land-use intensity is strengthened by the fact that many species considered threatened in Poland, are not threatened at all in the Lithuanian Lake District (e.g. Sokolowski 1973, 1990; Kavcă 1991; Pawlowski 2008a, c).

There have been little data on the population size of *Baeothryon alpinum* at the localities in Poland until now. Both very abundant (Tyszkowski 1992; Żukowski 2001; Bloch-Orłowska and Pisarek 2005) and small (Balcerkiewicz and Markowski 1969; Plackowski 1980; Dajdok and Kącki 1999; Pawlowski 2008a) populations were recorded. The high number of shoots in the Lithuanian Lake District, with
at least 9 populations exceeding 1000 shoots, indicates that the Polish part of the Lithuanian Lake District hosts the majority of the Polish resources of the species. The estimated total number of shoots in the Lithuanian Lake District probably reaches 100 000, while in both neighbouring regions (Masurian Lake District, upper Biebrza valley) it does not exceed 10 000. The last two lowland populations confirmed during the past decades in southern and western part of Poland are on the verge of extinction.

In the part of Belarus adjacent to Poland, *B. alpinum* has not been recorded since the 19th century, and the species has the status of vulnerable species for the whole country (Skuratovich 2005). At the same time, it is considered not threatened at all in nearby Lithuania (Rašomavičius 2007). Thus, the aggregation of vital populations of *Bacethron alpinum* in the Lithuanian Lake District with the adjacent areas is part of the species main range that includes nearby Lithuania (Hultén and Fries 1986a). This is in contrast to the remaining, scattered lowland localities beyond the main range of the species, all of them being extinct or near extinction.

As the number of populations of *Bacethron alpinum* in Poland is decreasing, conservation measures should be undertaken where needed, and nature reserves established that would protect the most important (best preserved or most numerous) populations of the species. At present, apart from the six confirmed populations in the Biebrza National Park and the Wigry National Park, there are five confirmed populations situated within the borders of nature reserves. Among the localities that should be designated as nature reserves are:

1. fens in the lower Rospuda river valley;
2. “Kobyla Biel” fen bordering north-western part of Biaie Augustowskie Lake;
3. “Bagno Parchacz” fen near the village of Stara Kampionka;
4. a fen north-east of the village of Kleszczewo.

Populations (2-4), as well as those in the Biebrza National Park, need active protection (removing of shrubs and trees, sometimes also restoring proper hydrological conditions).

When the IUCN definitions of categories of threat (IUCN...2008) are applied, *Bacethron alpinum* should be treated as Vulnerable (VU) in Poland, since A2c+3c criteria are met. When assessing the species status at a smaller geographical unit, the threat categories vary between the particular regions of lowland Poland. These are as follows:

- Critically Endangered (CR) – Masurian Lake District (criteria A2c+3c; B1ab(i-iv)+2ab(i-iv)) and Silesian Lowlands (criterion D; see Dajdok and Kački 2002);
- Vulnerable (VU) – Northern Podlasie Lowlands (criterion B1ab(i-iv)+2ab(i-iv)), but the category of threat is downgraded, since populations within the region may experience a “rescue effect” from populations in the neighbouring Lithuanian Lake District; see IUCN 2003);
- Near Threatened (NT) – Lithuanian Lake District (the species does not qualify for VU category now, but is close to qualifying for and is likely to qualify for a VU category in the near future).

In the South Pomerania Lake District *Bacethron alpinum* has been considered critically endangered (Zukowski and Jackowiak 1995), but it has now become clear that the species should be regarded most probably extinct. Its only population in the “Trzęsacz” nature reserve has not been confirmed since 1983. It is beyond dispute that the species is extinct (Ex) in all the other regions of lowland Poland where it used to occur.
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